COURT OF SPECIAL APPEALS OF MARYLAND CORRECTION NOTICE

August 31, 2022

Case No. 904 Sept. Term 2021 In re Expungement Petition for Abhishek I.

Authoring Judge: Graeff

Reported Opinion filed: August 31, 2022

Page 1, line 7 NOW READS

For the reasons set forth below, we shall affirm the judgement of the circuit court.

SHOULD READ

For the reasons set forth below, we shall affirm the judgment of the circuit court.

Page 3, lines 12–18 NOW READS

in a judgement of conviction, i.e., acquittal, dismissal, entry of a nolle prosequi, placement on the stet docket, or probation before judgement. The Court of Appeals explained that the expungement procedure was designed "to help protect individuals seeking employment or admission to an educational institution, by entitling them to expungement of unproven charges, so that those individuals could avoid being unfairly judged during their application processes." Stoddard v. State, 395 Md. 653, 664 (2006). A petition for expungement was permitted no earlier than three years from the judgement, with an exception if the person

SHOULD READ

in a judgment of conviction, i.e., acquittal, dismissal, entry of a nolle prosequi, placement on the stet docket, or probation before judgment. The Court of Appeals explained that the expungement procedure was designed "to help protect individuals seeking employment or admission to an educational institution, by entitling them to expungement of unproven charges, so that those individuals could avoid being unfairly judged during their application processes." Stoddard v. State, 395 Md. 653, 664 (2006). A petition for expungement was permitted no earlier than three years from the judgment, with an exception if the person

Page 12, line 7 NOW READS

JUDGEMENT OF THE CIRCUIT COURT

SHOULD READ

JUDGMENT OF THE CIRCUIT COURT

A corrected opinion has been posted on the Court's webpage: www.mdcourts.gov/cosappeals.

/S/

Gregory Hilton Clerk of the Court of Special Appeals